

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/437,276	11/10/1999	MATHIAS PAULS	3548.04-1	7769
75	90 09/17/2003			
PHILLIPS MOORE LEMPIO AND FINLEY SUITE 6 385 SHERMAN AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			SERGENT, RABON A	
PALO ALTO, O	CA 943061840		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1731	
			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/437,276	PAULS ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Rabon Sergent	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 12 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid in a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appead Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a ition in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperture of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or				
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: 							
<u> </u>		NOTE Labora					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note because of the second of the secon	•						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sii	mplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 6, and 9-16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•					
10. Other:							
		Rabon Sergent Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1711	t				

Continuation of 2. The proposed amendments to claim 1 specifying that the polycarboxylic acids are aromatic and that R is no longer alkyl have not been previously claimed and raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Furthermore, the recitation that claims 17-28 are withdrawn is confusing, because it appears that the claims are pending; however, claims 17-28 were canceled by the amendment of March 28, 2002.

Continuation of 5. The response is based upon amendments that will not be entered. Accordingly, the rejections have been maintained for the reasons set forth within the final Office action of April 8, 2003.

RABON SERGENT